ELIGIBILITY AND DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE REPORT FOR August 21, 2018 and September 11, 2018

The Eligibility and Disciplinary Committee (Committee) convened on August 21, 2018 and September 11, 2018. This report collectively summarizes the matters and decisions made at the time of the meeting¹.

<u>Petitioners for Licensure</u>

1. Petitioner filed an application for licensure by endorsement and disclosed the offense of BURGLARY OF HABITATION, committed on or about August 20, 2012, in Bell County, Texas. On or about September 26, 2011, Petitioner pled Guilty to BURGLARY OF HABITATION. As a result of the plea, the proceedings against Petitioner were deferred without entering an adjudication of guilt and Petitioner was placed on probation for a period of six (6) years. Additionally, Petitioner was ordered to pay restitution in the amount of seven thousand four hundred sixty-two dollars and thirty-six cents (\$7,462.36). On or about October 9, 2014, Petitioner completed the terms and conditions of probation and was discharged.

The Petitioner appeared in person. The Committee voted to **continue** the petitioner pending the results of a forensic psychological evaluation with a polygraph examination.

Petitioners for an Exception to a Previous Board Order

The Committee considered fifteen (15) petitions for an exception to a previous board order:

- Petitioner requested an Exception to a previous Board Order dated October 27, 2016, requesting an unencumbered license. It was the Committee's decision to grant the request.
- 2. Petitioner requested an Exception to a previous Board Order dated March 8, 2016, requesting the limitation on her prescriptive authority be lifted. It was the Committee's decision to *grant* the request with one (1) year of supervision.
- Petitioner requested an Exception to a previous Board Order dated February 14, 2017, requesting an unencumbered license. It was the Committee's decision to deny the request.

¹ This report should be reviewed in order to keep apprised of issues and decisions so that the Board may remain consistent with precedent.

- 4. Petitioner requested an Exception to a previous Board Order dated August 8, 2017, requesting she be allowed to practice unsupervised. It was the Committee's decision to **deny** the request.
- 5. Petitioner requested an Exception to a previous Board Order dated May 9, 2017, requesting he be allowed to practice unsupervised. It was the Committee's decision to *deny* the request.
- 6. Petitioner requested an Exception to a previous Board Order dated June 11, 2013, requesting an unencumbered license or modification to allow her to work contract or per diem. It was the Committee's decision to *continue* the request to the next available Committee meeting.
- 7. Petitioner requested an Exception to a previous Board Order dated October 26, 2017, requesting an unencumbered license. It was the Committee's decision to **deny** the request.
- 8. Petitioner requested an Exception to a previous Board Order December 13, 2016, requesting either an unencumbered license or a reduction in the duration of the Order. It was the Committee's decision to *grant* the request for an unencumbered license.
- Petitioner requested an Exception to a previous Board Order dated August 8, 2017, requesting an unencumbered license. It was the Committee's decision to deny the request.
- 10. Petitioner requested an Exception to a previous Board Order dated February 14, 2017, requesting she be allowed to practice under the supervision of a physician or that the narcotic restriction be removed. It was the Committee's decision to deny the request for removal of the narcotic restriction but to *grant* the request to practice under the supervision of a physician.
- 11. Petitioner requested an Exception to a previous Board Order dated November 12, 2014, requesting an unencumbered license or to be allowed to work part-time (not to exceed 32 hours a month) and have the drug screening requirement removed. It was the Committee's decision to deny the requests for an unencumbered license and to remove the drug screening requirement but to *grant* a modification substituting incident reporting for supervision.
- 12. Petitioner requested an Exception to a previous Board Order dated July 14, 2014, requesting an unencumbered license. It was the Committee's decision to *grant* the request with the stipulation that Petitioner provide a copy of employment contract.
- 13. Petitioner requested an Exception to a previous Board Order dated July 20, 2017, requesting she be allowed to practice in critical care. It was the Committee's decision to *deny* the request.

- 14. Petitioner requested an Exception to a previous Board Order dated July 23, 2009, requesting he be allowed to work unsupervised and that the Board redact reference to his mental health diagnosis. It was the Committee's decision to deny removal of the supervision stipulation but to *grant* his request for the Board to redact reference to his mental health diagnosis.
- 15. Petitioner requested an Exception to a previous Board Order dated March 2, 2017, requesting she be allowed to work unsupervised or she be issued an unencumbered license. It was the Committee's decision to *deny* the requests.

Motions for Rehearing

No motions for rehearing were considered by the Committee.

Orders Ratified:

Ninety-nine (99) disciplinary agreed orders were approved.

Twelve (12) reinstatement agreed orders were approved.

Eight (8) eligibility agreed orders were approved.

Thirty-four (34) default revocation orders were approved.

Two (2) deferred disciplinary action agreed order was approved.

Fifteen (15) KSTAR Pilot Program agreed orders were approved.