Advanced Practice Nursing Advisory Committee Report

Summary of Request: Consider the report of the December 13, 2010 meeting of the Advanced Practice Nursing Advisory Committee (APNAC).

Meeting Report: Minutes of the October 2010 meeting of the APNAC are provided for the Board’s consideration. A verbal report regarding the October meeting was provided to Board members at the October 2010 Board meeting and will not be provided again as part of this report.

The APNAC met on December 13, 2010. Committee members continued to discuss interim approval for new graduates of advanced practice nursing education programs who have not yet obtained national certification. Potential changes to Rule 221.6 were discussed with committee members.

Committee members continued to discuss APRN titles currently recognized by the Board. The Board recognizes some title that are not consistent with the population foci identified in the Consensus Model for APRN Regulation: Licensure, Accreditation, Certification and Education. An example of such a title is the clinical nurse specialist in critical care nursing title. In other cases, the Consensus Model calls for titles not currently recognized by the Board because there are no certification examinations targeted for the advanced practice role and population focus area. An example of one of these titles is the clinical nurse specialist in women’s health nursing. Discussion centered on these issues and possible recommendations for the Board’s consideration at a future meeting. The committee will continue to discuss this issue.

Committee members also began discussing their charge from the Board to consider the potential for APRNs to be approved to conduct physical and psychological evaluations under Texas Occupations Code, § 301.4521, Board Rules, and guidelines. Agenda item 7.5 from the October 2010 Board meeting was provided to committee members for their review prior to the next meeting. Committee members requested that staff invite resources with expertise in the area of psychiatric/mental health nursing to attend the next meeting. Staff will also invite additional staff resources from the legal and enforcement departments to provide committee members with a clear understanding regarding the board’s use of physical and psychological evaluations.

Pros and Cons: None noted.

Staff Recommendation: This item is for information purposes only. No action is required.
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I. CALL TO ORDER
The meeting was called to order at 9:42 am by Chairperson J. Walker. Members and other attendees introduced themselves. A quorum was established.

II. REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR 08/30/2010 MEETING

III. ADVANCED PRACTICE AND BOARD OF NURSING ISSUE - UPDATE AND DISCUSSION

A. At the October Board meeting, the Board will be asked by staff to consider issuing a charge for the APNAC committee to discuss whether APRNs should be included in the category of individuals who perform physical and psychological evaluations of nurses for licensure eligibility and disciplinary purposes. Additional information will be available if the Board votes to issue the charge.

B. State and National Issues - J Zych
1. J. Zych announced that the National Council of State Boards of Nursing will be holding a summit in January to educate Boards of nursing regarding the Consensus Model.

2. J Zych announced that staff will host a meeting with the APRN education community on November 12, 2010 to discuss issues related to implementing the licensure portion of the Consensus Model and to seek input regarding issues impacting APRN education.

3. APRN NEPIS–J Zych announced that the survey tool was sent to all APRN education programs via e-mail. Staff hopes to gain
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM AND DISCUSSION</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>information related to enrollment and from APRN programs in Texas.</td>
<td>J Zych to examine mechanisms whereby the BON could obtain this data.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **C. CRNA issues - J Walker**  
There is a contradiction with Texas laws regarding certification and some reimbursement rules which is creating active discussion among CRNAs. A request for data regarding the number of CRNAs in Texas who are not nationally certified was requested. |  |
| **IV. OLD BUSINESS** |  |
| **A. Other Health Professionals Becoming Nurses - J Hooper**  
1. J Hooper gave an update on chiropractors who entered the Patty Hanks nursing program. They seem to be doing well on the NCLEX-RN but the program will close. |  |
| **B. APRN Compact Implementation - J Zych**  
Kathy Thomas had a conference call with Utah, Iowa and Idaho. They discussed incorporating the *Consensus Model* into the compact requirements and compact language. J. Zych met with Jena Abel from the legal team. Their recommendations have been given to Kathy Thomas and Dusty Johnson to be shared with the administrators from other APRN compact states |  |
| **C. Certification Audit Update - J Zych**  
The audit is going well with good participation rates and very few being sent to enforcement. |  |
| **D. Discussion Regarding APRN titles Identified in the Consensus Model - J Zych**  
The current titles are not completely aligned with the *Consensus Model*. There are no exams for some titles and J. Zych has asked for feedback and thoughts on changes to the titles rule. | Consensus was to seek input during meeting with APRN education community in November. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM AND DISCUSSION</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>V. NEW BUSINESS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. New Definitions to be Added to Rule 221.1-J Zych</td>
<td>Discussion will continue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>There needs to be congruence between 221 and 222. The question of definitions for each role was raised.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Review of Educational Requirements for Nurse Practitioners and Clinical Nurse Specialists (Rule 221.3)-J Zych</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The number of clock hours varies quite a bit from state to state. Schools tend to teach toward the state in which they are located. How can the board assure we are protecting the public? What should the check and balance be? The possibility of developing a statement to be signed by the program director attesting that the student has met the competencies for their role and population focus was discussed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Interim Approval for New Graduates Who are Not Yet Certified.</td>
<td>J. Zych will work on proposed rule language that would eliminate the option for interim approval for new graduates who have not obtained national certification. Feedback will be requested during the November meeting with APRN education community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Consensus Model does not provide an option for interim approval. Employers and Educators at the meeting were in agreement that new graduates who are not certified should not be eligible for interim approval.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| VI. FUTURE MEETING DATES |        |
| The next meeting will be held December 13, 2010 from 9:30 AM to 3:00 PM. |

| VII. ADJOURNMENT |        |
| The meeting was adjourned at 2:00 PM. |

**Handouts:** Agenda
Minutes from 8-30-2010 meeting